

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

		·			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/710,690	07/28/2004	Chun-Yuan Chen	AUOP0041USA	4689	
27765	27765 7590 01/06/2006			EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			SHALLENBERGER, JULIE A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2875		
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/710,690	CHEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Julie A. Shallenberger	2875			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 July 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-28-04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (2002/0064037) in view of Vollkommer et al. (6,853,124) and further in view of Shibata et al. (5,648,858).

In regard to claims 1, 6, 7, 8, 14,15, 20 Lee discloses a backlight module 100 for a dual sided display having two parallel liquid crystal display panels 118 and 128 comprising a plurality of external electrode fluorescent lamps 102 positioned between the two LCD display panels, and two diffusion plates 110 and 120 positioned between the two LCD display panels and fixed to frame 108, but lacks the teaching of a flat fluorescent lamp fixed to the frame and the first and second electrodes being external and electrically connected in parallel. Vollkommer teaches a flat fluorescent lamp fixed to frame 9, and the use of external electrodes so that there is no need to lead gas-tight electrical feedthroughs through the wall of the discharge vessel (col. 1 lines 37-43). Shibata teaches electrode wiring lines in parallel for the purpose of increasing brightness (col. 2 lines 35-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the dual display of Lee with the external electrodes of

Art Unit: 2875

Vollkommer and the parallel wiring of Shibata, in order to improve the safety and performance of the display.

In regard to claim 2 and 16 Shibata teaches an inverter electrically connected to the electrodes for supplying AC voltage.

In regard to claim 3 and 17 Vollkommer teaches the use of a pulsed voltage source 23 for high efficiency in generating radiation (col. 2 lines 5-32) and further discloses that the lighting system can be operated using unipolar and bipolar pulsed voltages (col.9 lines 46-51) of two associated poles of the voltage source (col.11 line 30).

In regard to claims 4,5,18, and 19 Shibata teaches the use of aluminum coated electrodes for the purpose of conducting (col. 7 lines 10-54).

In regard to claims 9 and 21, Lee discloses the above mentioned elements, a backlight module 100 for a double sided display having two parallel liquid crystal display panels 118 and 128 but lacks the teaching of the light source being a double sided flat fluorescent lamp. Vollkommer teaches a flat fluorescent lamp 1.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Lee's double sided backlight module with Vollkommer's flat fluorescent lamp in order to provide brighter and more evenly distributed light for the backlight module.

In regard to claims 10 and 22, Shibata teaches an inverter IPCB connected to the electrodes for supplying AC voltage.

Art Unit: 2875

In regard to claims 11-13 Lee teaches two diffusion plates 110 and 120 positioned between the two LCD display panels and fixed to frame 108, but lacks the teaching of a flat fluorescent lamp which is taught by Vollkommer.

In regard to claim 23, Lee teaches diffusion plates 110 and 120 positioned between the two LCD display panels and fixed to frame 108 but lacks the flat fluorescent lamp fixed to the frame. Vollkommer teaches a flat fluorescent lamp fixed to frame 9.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hinotani (4,920,298) discloses a flat fluorescent lamp for a liquid crystal display.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie A. Shallenberger whose telephone number is (571)272-7131. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 830-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Renee Luebke can be reached on 571-272-2009. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,690 Page 5

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Julie Shallenberger

Examiner AU 2875

> PROMETE LUESKE PROMETY EXPANDER